

























This report was prepared by the NWRM project, led by Office International de l'Eau (OIEau), in consortium with Actéon Environment (France), AMEC Foster Wheeler (United Kingdom), BEF (Baltic States), ENVECO (Sweden), IACO (Cyprus/Greece), IMDEA Water (Spain), REC (Hungary/Central & Eastern Europe), REKK inc. (Hungary), SLU (Sweden) and SRUC (UK) under contract 07.0330/2013/659147/SER/ENV.C1 for the Directorate-General for Environment of the European Commission. The information and views set out in this report represent NWRM project's views on the subject matter and do not necessarily reflect the official opinion of the Commission. The Commission does not guarantee the accuracy of the data included in this report. Neither the Commission nor any person acting on the Commission's behalf may be held Key words: Biophysical impact, runoff, water retention, effectiveness - Please consult the NWRM glossary for more information.

NWRM project publications are available at <a href="http://www.nwrm.eu">http://www.nwrm.eu</a>

# **Table of content**

I.	Basic Information	1
II.	Policy context and design targets	1
III.	Site characteristics	2
IV.	Design & implementation parameters	3
V.	Biophysical impacts	4
VI.	Socio-Economic Information	6
VII.	Monitoring & maintenance requirements	7
VIII	. Performance metrics and assessment criteria	8
IX.	Main risks, implications, enabling factors and preconditions	8
X.	Lessons learned	9
XI.	References	9
XII.	Photos Gallery	0

### I. <u>Basic Information</u>

Application ID	Switzerland_01			
Application Name	Seymaz river renaturation			
Application Location	Country:	Switzerland	Country 2:	
	NUTS2 Code		7	
	River Basin District Co	ode	CH50	
	WFD Water Body Coo	le		
	Description			
			Seymaz river is loca	
			eastern part of Geneva	canton.
Application Site	Latitude:		Longitude:	
Coordinates	46,198248		6,180665	
Target Sector(s)	Primary:	Hydromor	rphology	
		·		
Implemented NWRM(s)	Measure #1:	N5	N5	
	Measure #2:	N9		
	Measure #3: N2			
Application short	The Seymaz renaturation project consists in several renaturation			
description	measures: eliminating concrete casts, softing riverbanks and widening			
	riverbed. A wetland (marshland) is also re-created.			

### II. Policy context and design targets

Brief description of the problem to be tackled	The Seymaz renaturation project is part of the cantonal program which aims at improving ecological and countryside quality and reducing flood risk by managing peakflows.			
What were the primary &	Primary target #1:	Biodiversity and gene-pool conservation in riparia		
secondary targets when designing this application?	Secondary target #1:	Flood control and flood risk mitigation		
	Remarks			
Which specific types of pressures did you aim at mitigating?	Pressure #1:  Pressure #2:	WFD indentified pressure WFD indentified pressure	4.1.2 Physical alteration of channel/bed/riparian area/shore of water body for agriculture  4.3.1 Flow diversions/hydrologica l alteration – agriculture	
	Pressure #3:	Floods Directive indetified pressure	Other pressure contributing to flooding / flood risk	
	Remarks	Aimed pressures corresponded have been selected Flood directives pressure	in the list of WFD and	

	does not depend on EU Directives.			
Which specific types of adverse impacts did you	Impact #1:	WFD indentified impact	Altered habitats due to hydrological changes	
aim at mitigating?	Impact #2:	Floods Directive indetified impact	Property	
	Impact #3:	Floods Directive indetified impact	Landscape	
	Impact #4:	Floods Directive indetified impact	Rural Land Use	
	Remarks	Aimed impacts correspond to the pressures wh have been selected in the list of WFD and Flo directives pressures, even if Switzerland does depend on EU Directives.		
Which EU requirements				
and EU Directives were aimed at being addressed?	Switzerland is not part of EU.			
Which national and/or regional policy challenges and/or requirements aimed to be addressed?	The project aims at improving rivers ecological and landscape quality and reducing flood risks. Those requirements are mentioned by a federal legislation (Law on rivers constructions in 1991 and ordonance on water protection in 1998) and aimed by the program implemented by Geneve State (Renaturation framework Action Plan in 1999).			

### III. Site characteristics

	Dominant land use	211	
Dominant Land Use	Secondary land use	112	
Dominant Land Use type(s)	Other important land use 221		
type(o)	Agricultural land use includes cereals, and some vineyards and market gardening crops. Artificial land is mostly for residential use.		
Climate zone	cool temperate moist		
Soil type	Silt clay		
Average Slope	very gentle (1-2%)		
Mean Annual Rainfall	900 - 1200 mm		
Mean Annual Runoff	Select the Mean Annual Runoff value		
Average Runoff coefficient (or %	Select the Average Runoff Coefficient value	0 - 10%	
coefficient (or % imperviousness on site)	The % imperviousness on the urban part of the watershed could increase up to 14 or 25% given the predicted constructions.		
Characterization of water quality status (prior to the implementation of the NWRMs)	Prior to the implementation of the NWRM, water physico-chemical quality was bad upstream until 2001 and downstream until 1981. It became "medium" then. Water contained nitrates, phosphorous, organic carbon, metals and pesticides. Main causes are industrial and agricultural pollution.  Biological quality was medium upstream and bad downstream. It did not cope with cantonal requirements but was improving.		

Comment on any specific	Text
site characteristic that	Positive way:
influences the	- V
effectiveness of the	Text
applied NWRM(s) in a	Negative way:
positive or negative way	1186000 749.

### IV. <u>Design & implementation parameters</u>

Project scale	Medium (eg. public park, new development district)	Project scale corresponds to the Seymaz river and its neighboring lands.	
Time frame	Date of installation/construction (01.1998)	Some punctual measures were implemented between 1998 and 2005, when the so-called "renaturation program" began.	
	Expected average lifespan (life expectancy) of the application in years	The measure is expected to be perennial	
	Name of responsible authority/ stakeholder	Role, responsibilities	
	1. Grand Conseil and Conseil d'Etat of Genève State	Responsible for voting two laws/programs on Seymaz renaturation	
Responsible authority and other stakeholders	2. Geneve Canton: Genaral Direction of Water, renaturation of rivers and banks service; Genaral Direction of Nature and Landscape; Agriculture and the environment Department	Responsible authority for the implementation of the renaturation program	
other stakeholders involved	3. Members of the Charte Seymaz group (all actors concerned by the project)	"negociation" group on the renaturation program	
	4. Members of the management group	Responsible for coordinating and managing the local arrangements	
	5. Farmers	Impacted stakeholders who had to comply with some arangements	
The application was initiated and financed by	Genève State		
What were specific principles that were followed in the design of this application?	- acceptability through participative process and governance		
	Number of hectares treated by the NWRM(s).	2920	
Area (ha)	Text to specify	The Seymaz watershed covers 3660ha and is divided in two sub-basins; the one upstream, located in a rural area and	

		concerned by the NWRM is 2920ha.		
Design capacity	About 800 000m3 can be retained in the treated area thanks to several NWRM and some other retention ponds.			
	Reference	URL		
Reference to existing	1.			
engineering standards, guidelines and manuals	2.			
that have been used	3.			
during the design phase	4.			
	5.			
Main factors and/or constraints that influenced the selection and design of the NWRM(s) in this application	Land use constraints have been the initiator factor to the implementation of the measure, since frequent floods happened to occur on farmlands in the Seymaz basin itself, linked to the artificialisation of the river during the past centuries. Floods in the urban areas downstream were already a problem.  Political context, legislation and existing funding sources have been a main factor leading the choice for the implementation of the NWRM.			

# V. <u>Biophysical impacts</u>

Impact	Impact description (Text, approx. 200 words)	Impact	quantification
category	Sionnet marshland have been restored; they can retain	(specifying u	nits)
(short name)	up to 800 000m3. Water is stored and released	Parameter	% change in
Impact on soil	regarding period of the year, between flood and	value; units	parameter
moisture and	drought periods. This contributes to reduce runoff and	Water	value as
soil storage	flood risks in urban areas and to regulate water flow.	retention:	compared to
capacity		800 000	the state prior
Runoff		m3	to the implementation
attenuation / control	Infiltration in riverbeds is higher. Erosion is limited	Max	of the
	but enough for ecosystems.	Peak	NWRM(s)
Reducing flood risks (Floods		flow:	
Directive)	Water retention could also have an impact on flow	17m3/s	
Bileea (e)	replenishment but this still need to be proved. It		
	improves the biological environment.		
Mitigation of			
other	Moreover, Seymaz renaturation have recreated a		
biophysical	wetland habitat which acts as a refuge for fauna and is a resting place for migratory birds. Flora such as		
impacts in	rubanier dressé, laîche faux souchet, scrofulaire		
relation to	auriculée, samole de Valerand and lagratiole officinale	,	
other EU	can now be observed in the wetland. Such species are	$3^{\rm rd}$	
Directives (e.g.	priority in Switzerland and concerned by specific	geneve	
Habitats,		site for	

UWWT, etc.)	action palns. Farm plants such as bleuet, épiaire annuelle, véronique luisante, linaire élatine, linaire bâtarde, renoncule scélérate and bident triparti are also observed. Seymaz river is also recognized as a nesting site for amphibians.  Water quality have improved after renaturation (from medium to good) but it still needs to be monitored.	migratory birds	
Water quality Improvements			Bad/medium
Select from the drop-down menu below:		good	
Runoff attenuation / control  Peak flow rate	Describe the impact on runoff reduction and/or control		
reduction Impact on groundwater			
Impact on soil moisture and soil storage capacity			
Restoring hydraulic connection			
Water quality Improvements WFD			
Ecological Status and objectives			
Reducing flood risks (Floods Directive)			
Mitigation of other biophysical impacts in			
relation to other EU Directives (e.g. Habitats,			
UWWT, etc.) Soil Quality			

# VI. <u>Socio-Economic Information</u>

	Direct benefits of the implementation of the measure are			
	- reduction of flood damages in urban areas (houses, school, roads)			
	Indirect benefits as			
What are the benefits and co-benefits of NWRMs in this application?	- creation of a touristic area for the inhabitants of Geneve canton. Frequency of hikers, cycles and horse riders visits have increased. Visits are also organized for ornithology. The area now benefits from a positive image which has a positive impact on tourism.  - benefit for farmers by selling products on their farm, due to this			
	increasing number		·	
	- landscape conser	vation		
	- employment (agr	itourism, works)		
	Total:	61 million €		
	Capital:	22 million €	Investments financed by specific laws on renaturation	
Financial costs	Land acquisition and value:	1.6 million € at least	This amount was transferred from the renaturation department to the regional, agriculture promotion fund in order to finance compensations linked to losses of agricultural lands	
			Including:	
	Operational:	37 million €	15 million € for works financed by Cantonal renaturation fund	
			22 million € for functioning	
	Maintenance:			
	Was financial compensation required: Yes			
	Total amount of money paid (in €): at least 1,6 million €			
Were financial compensations required? What amount?	Compensation schema: farm owners and farmers have received financial compensation, either for selling their land (1.6€/m2 in addition to the sale) or keeping it and managing it respecting a "nature contract" (819€/ha). The payment was function of the number of concerned hectares. Moreover, punctual compensations have been done, for instance during works periods and for a loss of three year yields during when land was leveled. Farmers also beneficiated for tax advantages.			
	The State also created a fund for regional agriculture promotion, beneficiating for land sales. The renaturation department transferred 1,6million € to this fund.			
	Actual income loss: The measure worsens the agronomic quality of lands; the loss have been estimated at 1.6€/m2.			
Economic costs	Additional costs:			
	Other opportunity costs:			

	Comments / Remarks:
Which link can be made to the ecosystem services approach?	<ul> <li>Flood security and protection: reduction of flood damages in urban areas (houses, school, roads)</li> <li>Amenities (associated to habitat protection): fish and plants, tourism, recreation, and others:</li> <li>creation of a touristic area for the inhabitants of Geneve canton. The area now benefits from a positive image which has a positive impact on tourism.</li> <li>benefit for farmers by selling products on their farm, due to this increasing number of visitors</li> <li>landscape conservation</li> <li>employment (agritourism, works)</li> </ul>

### VII. Monitoring & maintenance requirements

Monitoring requirements	Water flows are measured in different sites of Seymaz river (at least 3).  Water quality is monitored:  - physic-chimical quality (every 6 years) through parameters: nitrogen, phosphorous, metals, pesticides, organic carbon  - ecological quality (between every 1 to every 8 years) through two parameters: benthic macrofauna and diatomees	
Maintenance requirements	A management plan has been elaborated in 2007 to describe maintenance requirements for habitats protection and flood risk management.	
What are the administrative costs?		

#### VIII. Performance metrics and assessment criteria

Which assessment methods and practices are used for assessing the biophysical impacts?	Biophysical impacts are assessed by comparing previous and post state of habitats and flood risk.	
Which methods are used to assess costs, benefits and cost-effectiveness of measures?	Cost effectiveness of measure is assessed comparing the cost of the actual NWRM with the cost of the initial project which was planned to fight floods, supposing the impacts would have been similar.	
How cost-effective are NWRM's compared to "traditional / structural" measures?	The NWRM cost is around 74 millions CHF, or 5,5 millions CHP per year, whereas the initial project cost was 95 millions CHF.	
How do (if applicable) specific basin characteristics influence the effectiveness of measures?	The Seymaz basin includes an old marshland, which had been drought in the past centuries. Its renaturation enabled to use its natural storage potential which is necessarily higher than other types of lands potential.	
What is the standard time delay for measuring the effects of the measures?	The effect of the NWRM regards mostly floods and will be able to be measured when flood events occur.  In 20 years, biodiversity have notably increased.	

#### IX. Main risks, implications, enabling factors and preconditions

	Main implementation barriers have been:	
	- the importance of property rights, mostly farmers ones, and the difficulty to deal with land property	
What were the main implementation barriers?	- the negotiation with farmers, highly impacted by the project and who were initially "against" a total renaturation project. Their involvement in the decision process, the negotiation of compensations and decisions such as the non-expropriation of farmers or the "drainage right", had to be discussed and taken into account.	
	- previous conflicts that had occurred between farmers and nature organizations	
	- non-expropriation has finally became a conflict factor	
	Main success factors have been:	
What are a shear a shear a shear a shear	- the local political context, much more in favor of renaturation than other cantons (laws, fund)	
What were the main enabling and success factors?	- local arrangements such as the "drainage right" given t farmers	
success factors:	- the high participation rate of farmers and other actors in the process decision and implementation (through Charte Seymaz group)	
	The main funding sources were:	
	- the cantonal renaturation fund (45millions CHF)	
Financing	- the two laws on renaturation voted by Geneve State (27 million CHF)	
	Incentives were used through compensations forward famers who sold their land or sign in a "nature contract" regarding the way to manage it.	

	Private funding has been made.		
Flexibility & Adaptability	Changing political context and local governance could have been a barrier to the implementation of the renaturation project. Another type of major land use and economic activity in the Seymaz river basin could have make the process easier or not. Compensations could have been higher for lands that can be built.		
	Similar application can be proposed in other contexts where water retention has drastically decreased in the past and where a retention potential is known (ancient wetland for instance).		
Transferability	Land use characteristics and economic activities of other basins highly impact the possibility of implementing such NWRM, and the decisions, compensations and local arrangements that can be made. This implementation highly depends on land property characteristics (rate, type of owners, land use possibilities).		
	Necessary preconditions seem to be a participative decision process, involving the main impacted stakeholders (economically and regarding their property rights). The participative process, which can be considered as a success factor, is adaptable to other contexts. A favorable political context and financing possibilities is another precondition for the implementation of the NWRM.		

### X. <u>Lessons learned</u>

		The project has enabled land use regulation through social solidarity and
	economic efficiency, taking local interests into account in addition to global	
V ov. log	V 1	interests (regarding floods and biodiversity). This can have facilitated the
Key lessons	acceptation and success of its implementation.	
	Moreover, the measure happens to be more cost-efficient than previous	
		projects of flood regulation.

### XI. <u>References</u>

	Project presentation factsheet	
Source Type	Grey Literature	
	Project report	
	Département du territoire, Service de renaturation des cours d'eau, Canton de	
Source Author(s)	Geneve (Genece State, Land department, Service of rivers renaturation)	
Source Author(s)	Johan Imesch	
	Francesco Della Casa	
	La Seymaz	
	Les arrangements locaux et la durabilité de nouvelles activités rurales : le cas de	
Source Title	la renaturation de la Haute-Seymaz (local arrangements and sustainability of	
	new rural activities: the Haute-Seymaz renaturation case study)	
	Renaturation de la Seymaz (Seymaz renaturation)	
	2009	
Year of publication	2011	
	2007	
Editor/Publisher	Canton de Geneve	
Editor/Publisher	IDHEA, Chaire Politiques publiques et durabilité	

#### CS: Seymaz River renaturation, Switzerland

	Tracés, bulletin technique de la Suisse romande			
Source Weblink	www.ge.ch/eau			
Key People		Name / affiliation	Contact details	
	1.			
	2.			
	3.			
	4.			

### XII. Photos Gallery



Figure 1. Before and after Seymaz renaturation. Source: Canton de Genève, 2011



Figure 2. Sionnet marshland along the Seymaz river, after renaturation. Source: Canton de Genève, 2011