

**Natural Water Retention Measures** 

www.nwrm.eu

Service contract n°07.0330/2013/659147/SER/ENV.C1

**NWRM** 

# Restoration of Wetlands in the Western Lowland Area of the Dümmer Lake

**Case Study** 





Environment

This report was prepared by the NWRM project, led by Office International de l'Eau (OIEau), in consortium with Actéon Environment (France), AMEC Foster Wheeler (United Kingdom), BEF (Baltic States), ENVECO (Sweden), IACO (Cyprus/Greece), IMDEA Water (Spain), REC (Hungary/Central & Eastern Europe), REKK inc. (Hungary), SLU (Sweden) and SRUC (UK) under contract 07.0330/2013/659147/SER/ENV.C1 for the Directorate-General for Environment of the European Commission. The information and views set out in this report represent NWRM project's views on the subject matter and do not necessarily reflect the official opinion of the Commission. The Commission does not guarantee the accuracy of the data included in this report. Neither the Commission nor any person acting on the Commission's behalf may be held Key words: Biophysical impact, runoff, water retention, effectiveness - Please consult the NWRM glossary for more information.

*NWRM project publications are available at* <u>http://www.nwrm.eu</u>

# **Table of content**

I.	Basic Information1
II.	Policy context and design targets2
III	. Site characteristics
IV	Design & implementation parameters
V.	Biophysical impacts4
VI	Socio-Economic Information5
VI	I. Monitoring & maintenance requirements
VI	II. Performance metrics and assessment criteria6
IX	. Main risks, implications, enabling factors and preconditions
X.	Lessons learned7
XI	. References7
XI	I. Photos Gallery

I. <u>Basic Information</u>
-----------------------------

Application ID	Germany_03			
Application Name	Restoration of W Lake	Vetlands in	the Western Lowland A	rea of the Dümmer
Application Location	Country:	Germany	Country 2:	
	NUTS2 Code		E92	
	River Basin Distri	ct Code	DE4000 - Weser	
	WFD Water Body Code			
	Description		The Dümmer lowland in the northwest German plain consists of extensive marshes and wet grassland that are flooded in winter. It is key habitat for resident and migrating birds, including long-billed shorebirds. However, the creation of a dyke in 1953 prevented the Dümmer from flooding and affected the habitats of the corncrake (Crex crex) and the shy bittern (Botaurus stellaris), among other species, e.g. meadow birds. The drained ground became as hard as concrete when dry, making food supplies ever scarcer and less accessible.	
Application Site Coordinates	Latitude: WGS84 52°30'N		Longitude: 8°21′E	
Target Sector(s)	Primary:		Hydromorphology	
	Secondary:		Agriculture	
Implemented NWRM(s)	Measure #1:		A1	
	Measure #2:		A7	
	Measure #3:		A12	
	Measure #4:		N2	
Application descriptionshortBetween 2002 and 2007, EUR 3,1 million were spent on a measures within the framework of the LIFE "Wiedervernässung der Westlichen Dümmerniederung Wetlands in the Western Lowland Area of the Dümmer I. Approximately 175 hectares of formerly agricultural land meant that a total area of 2,500 ha of fen was now succes in the European bird sanctuary of Lake Dümmer, an created for large-scale rewetting. The LIFE-projeconstruction of supporting dams and the removal of drain These measures aim to develop one of the largest areas Northwest Germany as a suitable habitat for meadow bird The main project goals have been: • Rewetting of the fen • Securing and regeneration of wet meadows providing a of different species • Development of wet meadows with tall herbs and reeds			a nature conservation E Nature project ng" (Restoration of Lake). Id were bought. This ressfully consolidated and conditions were oject financed the inages. Is of wet grassland in rds.	

# II. Policy context and design targets

Brief description of the	Wide, open grasslands a	and meadow birds are a part of	the historic cultural landscape		
problem to be tackled	of meadows and pastures in Northern Germany. Intensified agriculture in Lower				
	Saxony, as well as in r	many other places, puts a threa	t on this landscape and local		
	meadow bird population	is. The draining of meadows, ea	rly mowing, and densification		
	of the ground through	heavy machinery render these a	vreas practically unlivable for		
	meadow birds. Meadow	birds breed and raise their chick	ks on the ground in grassland		
	areas. After hatching, th	he chicks need about four weeks	before they are able to fly.		
	Until then, they collect	insects and worms from the veg	etation and the ground under		
	attendance of their pare	nts. When they reach maturity,	meadow birds use their long		
	beaks to pick for food	' in the wet soil. Suitable hab	itats for meadow birds have		
	decreased strongly in siz	e, and the grassland remaining	today, does not provide ideal		
	conditions. Consequent	ly, the number of meadow bird	ds declined sharply in recent		
	decades.				
What were the primary &	Primary target #1:	Regulation of hydrological	l cycle and water flow		
secondary targets when	Primary target #2:	Other (please describe in t	the "remarks" below)		
designing this application?	Secondary target				
	#1:				
	Secondary target				
	#2:				
	Remarks	Habitat restoration			
Which specific types of	Pressure #1:	WFD identified pressure	Nutrient Pollution		
pressures did you aim at	Remarks				
mitigating?					
Which specific types of	Impact #1:	Floods Directive	Protected Areas		
adverse impacts did you aim		identified impact			
at mitigating?	Impact #2:	Floods Directive	Landscape		
		identified impact			
	Remarks				
Which EU requirements and	Requirement #1:	WFD-achievement of	Bird Sanctuary		
EU Directives were aimed at		good ecological status			
being addressed?	Remarks				
Which national and/or	N.A.				
regional policy challenges					
and/or requirements aimed					
to be addressed?					

#### III. Site characteristics

	Dominant land use	411	
Dominant Land Use type(s)	Secondary land use 321		
CORINE LU	Other important land use		
	Remarks		
Climate zone	cool temperate moist		
Soil type	Type in the relevant soil type (FAO class) f	from the list in Annex 3	
Average Slope	nearly level (0-1%)		
Mean Annual Rainfall	600 - 900 mm		
Mean Annual Runoff	150 - 300 mm		
Average Runoff coefficient (or % imperviousness on site)	Remarks		
Characterization of water quality status (prior to the implementation of the NWRMs)	Although it can be assumed that the in the groundwater of the area, no carried out prior to the implementation	measure had reduced nutrients quantitative assessments were on.	
Comment on any specific site characteristic that influences the effectiveness of the applied NW/RM(s) in a positive or	Before the project, over 2000 ha were already acquired for the Bird Sanctuary of Lake Dümmer. A well established, institutionalized Round Table served as a communication platform between Nature Conservationists and Farmers, so that acceptance was guaranteed throughout the process.		
negative way			

# IV. Design & implementation parameters

Project scale	Large (e.g. watershed, city, entire system)	water	2500ha
Time forme	Date of installation/constr (MM.YYYY)	12.2007	
Time frame	Expected average lifespan expectancy) of the application in year	(life ars	40
	Name of responsible authority/ stakeholder	sponsibilities	
Responsible authority and other	1. Lower Saxony Water Management, Coastal Defense and Nature Conservation Agency (NLWKN)	Planning, Implementation	
stakenoiders involved	2. Lower Saxony Ministry of Environment	r Saxony Ministry of hent Coordination, Management	
	3.		
	4.		
	5.		
The application was initiated and financed by	State of Lower Saxony; European Commission		
What were specific principles that were followed in the design	Usability, integrative planning, acceptability		

# CS: Western Lowland Area of the Dümmer Lake, Germany

of this application?			
Area (ha)		umber of hectares treated by e NWRM(s).	2500 ha
		xt to specify	Target area: 2500 ha
Design capacity	N.	А.	
		Reference	URL
Reference to existing	1.		
engineering standards,	2.		
have been used during the	3.		
esign phase	4.		
	5.		
Main factors and/or constraints that influenced the selection and design of the NWRM(s) in this application?	The wetlands of the Dümmer lowland area are of international significance for nature conservation. The diverse natural and near- natural habitats hold a large number of bird species (reed, wading, water and meadow birds), which breed, rest or winter here. Various developments have greatly endangered these bird's paradise in the past. The diking of the Dümmer lake in 1953 has led to the drainage of the wetlands and the intensification of agricultural activity. Together with the cutting of peat in the adjacent bogs, this has caused the water to be heavily polluted with nutrients.		

# V. <u>Biophysical impacts</u>

Impact category (short name)	Biophysical Impacts were	Impact	quantification
	not quantified for this	(specifying un	its)
Select from the <b>drop-down menu</b>	application, because its	Parameter	% change in
below:	primary target was the	value; units	parameter value as
	ecological land restoration		compared to the
*	of the area.		state prior to the
			implementation of
			the NWRM(s)
Runoff attenuation / control			
Peak flow rate reduction			
Impact on groundwater			
Impact on soil moisture and soil			
storage capacity			
Restoring hydraulic connection			
Water quality Improvements			
WFD Ecological Status and			
objectives			
Reducing flood risks (Floods			
Directive)			
Mitigation of other biophysical			
impacts in relation to other EU			

Directives (e.g. Habitats, UWWT,		
etc.)		
Soil Quality Improvements		
Other		

#### VI. Socio-Economic Information

What are the benefits and co- benefits of NWRMs in this application?	Two nature trails were created along the western bank of the lake, which tie up to the few years old nature trail "Dümmer" in Ochsenmoor. The special natural features of the Dümmer lowlands are displayed to cyclists and hikers. While the short trail around Olgahafen was specially designed for hikers, the trail stations along the further course of the bank are mainly set up for cyclists. Four of these stations have an interactive design. The youth- and holiday center of Vechta district is situated next to the start of the nature trails. About 10,000 people, mainly pupils with their school classes, visit this center every year. Especially for this clientele as well as for other interested visitors the "nature trail quiz rally" was developed. Furthermore the restoration of the habitat led to increased tourist numbers.			
	Total:	3.1 Mio	Text / Specify	
	Capital:	Value in $\epsilon$	Text / Specify	
Financial costs	Land acquisition and value:	2 Mio.	Land acquisition	
	Operational:	800,000	Supporting dams and removal of drainages	
	Maintenance:	Value in $\epsilon$		
	Other:	300,000	Personnel, tech. Assist.	
	Was financial compensation required: No			
Were financial compensations	Total amount of money paid (in $\epsilon$ ):			
required? What amount?	Compensation schema:			
	Comments / Remarks:			
	Lease agreements are given out cheap or for free, due to heavy regulations for farming.			
Economic costs	Additional costs: N.A.			
	Other opportunity costs: N.A.			
	Comments / Remarks:			
Which link can be made to the ecosystem services approach?	Biomass production, t	ourism, recreat	ion, Water security	

Monitoring requirements	Regularly (weekly to monthly)
Maintenance requirements	After the project could be finished, management of the rewetting areas will continuously be guaranteed by the nature conservation base Dümmer.
What are the administrative costs?	N.A.

#### VII. Monitoring & maintenance requirements

#### VIII. Performance metrics and assessment criteria

Which assessment methods and practices are used for assessing the biophysical impacts?	N.A.
Which methods are used to assess costs, benefits and cost- effectiveness of measures?	There were no methods used to assess costs and the costs- effectiveness of the measure. The benefit was measured by monitoring the breeding success of meadow birds, though.
How cost-effective are NWRM's compared to "traditional / structural" measures?	Unknown
How do (if applicable) specific basin characteristics influence the effectiveness of measures?	N.A.
What is the standard time delay for measuring the effects of the measures?	1-5 years

# IX. Main risks, implications, enabling factors and preconditions

What were the main implementation barriers?	The main implementation barrier was the integration of different socioeconomic interests, i.e. of farmers, the tourism branch, and nature conservationists. On one side, the area is highly dependent on tourism, and agriculture. Nature conservation, on the other side, is dependent on a good and effective cooperation with farmers. A common benefit of the implementation of measure had to be found between these stakeholder groups. A well- established round table, served as an arena for this discussion and led to a mutual understanding. Tourists are attracted by the restoration of a near-nature landscape, whereas farmer benefit from contractual agreements with the nature conservationists.	
What were the main enabling and success factors?	A well-established, institutionalized Round Table served as a communication platform between Nature Conservationists and Farmers, so that acceptance was guaranteed throughout the process.	
Financing	EU LIFE, State of Lower Saxony	
Flexibility & Adaptability	The measure was specifically tailored for the local conditions.	
Transferability	Technical solutions from this application can be transferred to other situations. These solutions include the construction of	

	supporting dams and the removal of drainages. The transferability is dependent on specific geographic conditions, so there is not			
	one fits-all-solution. On the socioeconomic side, an exchange of			
	opinions between stakeholders and support of trust by			
	institutionalized round tables are transferable, if aimed at with			
	patience.			

#### X. <u>Lessons learned</u>

Key lessons	Lessons learned in this project reach from practical and technical experience to the integration of stakeholders to achieve a high acceptance and participation of local farmers. The experience from this project also led to the initiation of the LIFE+ Project Meadow Birds, including water retention measures in 12 project areas
	in 12 project areas.

### XI. <u>References</u>

Source Type	Grey Literature				
Source Author(s)	Blüml, V., Belting, H., Diekmann, M., & Zacharias, D.				
Source Title	Erfolgreiche Feuchtgrünlandentwicklung durch Naturschutzmaßnahmen. Langfristige Veränderung von Flora, Vegetation und Avifauna am Beispiel des Ochsenmoores in der Dümmerniederung.				
Year of publication	2012				
Editor/Publisher	Informationsdienst Naturschutz Niedersachsen 4				
Source Weblink	http://www.nlwkn.niedersachsen.de/portal/live.php?navigation_id =7931&article_id=112043&_psmand=26#Gruenland				
Key People	1.           2.           3.	Name / affiliation Heinrich Belting	Contact details Nds. Landesbetrieb für Wasserwirtschaft, Küsten- und Naturschutz Außenstelle "Naturschutzstation Dümmer" Am Ochsenmoor 52 D-49448 Hüde Tel: +49 (0)5443 / 1393 Fax: +49 (0)5443 / 8145		
	4.				

#### XII. Photos Gallery



Figure 1 Winter waterlogging on grassland (Source: NLWKN)



Figure 2 Overflow congestion in the project area (right from the ditch a newly constructed fence line) (Source: NLWKN)